

CRISIS & RESILIENCE FUND SCORING

Each scored 1 to 4. Criteria 1 to 4 are scored from the written answers. Criterion 5 is scored from the basic applicant details, not a narrative answer.

- **Shared front door: readiness and accessibility**
 1. No current premises, or premises aren't accessible or well located. No plan for reliable point-of-contact capacity.
 2. Premises exist, but accessibility, location or opening hours would need significant change to fulfil this role reliably.
 3. Premises are accessible and reasonably well located, with a credible plan for the staffing or hours changes needed.
 4. Premises are accessible and well located now, with a clear, credible plan for staffing and hours to make this a reliable front door.
- **Common offer: baseline capability and fit of proposed support**
 1. No clear plan for triage or referral. No proposed practical support beyond the baseline, or the proposal doesn't fit local need.
 2. Some plan for triage and referral, but underdeveloped. Practical support ideas are generic or not clearly linked to local need.
 3. Clear plan for triage and referral through Frontline. Practical support ideas are reasonable and linked to local need.
 4. Clear, credible plan for triage, referral and escalation. Practical support ideas are well matched to specific local need and show independent thinking.
- **Convening and supporting Community Connectors**
 1. No experience of working with smaller or informal groups. No approach described.
 2. Some experience, but the approach to convening Connectors is vague or generic.
 3. Good experience of working with smaller or informal groups, with a clear, credible approach to convening a Connector network.
 4. Strong, evidenced track record of working with smaller or informal groups, with a well-thought-through, locally specific approach to convening and supporting Connectors.
- **Contributing to the wider system**

1. No experience of partnership working, data sharing or shared training, and no willingness expressed.
 2. Limited experience or willingness, with some hesitancy about shared branding, training or data sharing.
 3. Reasonable experience of collaborative working, with a clear willingness to take part in shared systems and training.
 4. Strong track record of collaborative working, data sharing and shared initiatives, with clear enthusiasm for contributing to a countywide system.
- **Organisational capability (scored from basic applicant details)**
 1. No paid staff, or the grant would be larger than your current annual income.
 2. Some paid staff, but the grant would be a very large share of current income, or reserves are minimal.
 3. Paid staff, and the grant is a manageable share of current income with reasonable reserves.
 4. Established staff team, the grant is a modest share of current income, and reserves provide resilience.

Overall score guide

- Under 10 - Not yet ready to progress without significant strengthening or support.
- 10-15 - Shows promise. Could progress, potentially with conditions, a development conversation, or support to build capacity.
- Over 15 - Well placed to progress to a fuller conversation and due diligence